

# The Gender Power: The Representation of Juliet in Shakespeare's *Romeo and Juliet* (2013)

Mutiara Salwa<sup>1</sup>, Bahar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>12</sup>Fajar University

bmutiarasalwa@gmail.com

Penulis Korespondensi:

**Bahar**

baharsetiawan@unifa.ac.id

## Abstract

This research examines how gender power is represented through the character of Juliet in Shakespeare's *Romeo and Juliet* (2013), directed by Carlo Carlei, employing a qualitative analytical methodology grounded in feminist theory. The study utilizes Judith Butler's concept of gender performativity, Simone de Beauvoir's existential feminism, and Michel Foucault's theoretical framework of power relations to deconstruct the complexities of female subjectivity within patriarchal structures. The findings reveal that gender power constitutes not merely a system of oppression but a complex network of relations that simultaneously produces and constrains female subjectivity. Juliet emerges as a multifaceted protagonist whose acts of resistance manifested through her clandestine marriage, deliberate refusal of parental authority, and ultimate self-determination represent subversive reperformances of prescribed femininity. These transgressive acts expose and challenge the contingent, constructed nature of gender norms within Elizabethan patriarchal society. The analysis demonstrates that although Juliet's resistance ultimately proves untenable within the film's rigid patriarchal framework, it nonetheless illuminates how gender identities are constructed, enforced, and contested through repeated performative acts. The examination of secondary female characters particularly the Nurse and Lady Capulet reveals how gender power operates differentially across intersecting dimensions of class, age, and social position, demonstrating that female subordination is neither monolithic nor predetermined. In conclusion, the film portrays gender power as dynamic, contested, and fundamentally dependent upon the continued repetition and reperformance of normative gender behaviors. Shakespeare's portrayal of female characters exposes how patriarchal power maintains subordination through linguistic regulation, embodied discipline, and institutional authority, while simultaneously suggesting possibilities for subversion and resistance within these constraining structures.

**Keywords:** *gender performativity, patriarchal domination, feminist resistance, power relations, gender construction*

## Introduction

Gender has long been understood as a natural and biological category that fundamentally determines human identity and social positioning. However, contemporary feminist scholarship has fundamentally challenged this essentialist conceptualization, revealing gender instead as a socially constructed phenomenon a product of cultural practices, institutional structures, and disciplinary mechanisms rather than biological destiny.

As Judith Butler articulates in her groundbreaking work *Gender Trouble* (1990), gender is not something one inherently possesses but rather something one continuously enacts through repeated behaviors, linguistic utterances, and embodied performances.

Within patriarchal societies, particularly those characterized by rigid hierarchical structures such as Elizabethan England, gender operates as a regulatory framework that maintains male dominance and constrains female autonomy. Women in such societies were subjected to severe legal, economic, and social restrictions that positioned them as perpetual dependents of male authority figures first fathers, subsequently husbands, and contingently sons.

Their educational opportunities were limited, their participation in intellectual and political life was systematically excluded, and their fundamental rights regarding bodily autonomy, property ownership, and marriage choice were substantially curtailed or entirely negated.

William Shakespeare's *Romeo and Juliet*, one of the most enduring and widely studied dramas in English literature, has traditionally been interpreted as a tragic love story centered upon the romantic passion between two ill-fated lovers. However, beneath this romantic veneer lies a complex network of gender politics and power relations that fundamentally shape the lives and destinies of characters, particularly female ones.

The protagonist Juliet navigates a constrained social world wherein her primary value derives from her utility as a commodity to be exchanged in marriage for the advancement of family honor and economic interests.

Yet within this restrictive patriarchal structure, Juliet demonstrates capacity for agency and resistance. She actively defies paternal authority by secretly marrying Romeo rather than acquiescing to her father's dictation that she marry Count Paris. She articulates her own desires through language and action, claiming agency over her body, her sexuality, and her fate.

Her willingness to consume the potion administered by Friar Laurence thereby risking death to escape her imposed marriage demonstrates her capacity for strategic action. These acts of resistance, while ultimately tragic, challenge and expose the constructed nature of gender norms and the contingency of patriarchal authority.

Despite the extensive scholarly attention devoted to *Romeo and Juliet*, analysis of how gender power operates within the dramatic text and shapes female subjectivity has received comparatively limited scrutiny. This investigation seeks to address this research gap by interrogating how gender power manifests through Juliet's characterization and exploring the relationship between female resistance and patriarchal constraint.

## **Literature Review**

Gender power refers to the complex systems of meaning-making and behavioral regulation through which patriarchal societies construct, maintain, and contest the identities, roles, and social positions of individuals based upon their perceived gender. This conceptualization extends beyond understanding gender merely as a category of individual identity to recognize gender as a political structure through which power relations are instantiated and hierarchies of dominance are justified and naturalized.

## **Judith Butler's Theory of Gender Performativity**

Judith Butler's seminal theoretical intervention fundamentally challenges the notion that gender constitutes a natural or predetermined identity category. Instead, Butler argues that gender represents a performative accomplishment—an iterative process through which individuals repeatedly enact culturally sanctioned behaviors, linguistic expressions, and embodied postures that collectively produce the effect of a coherent and stable gender identity. Crucially, Butler emphasizes that these performative iterations are not freely chosen acts of individual agency but rather compulsory

repetitions that are regulated through disciplinary mechanisms, social expectations, and institutional structures of power.

Butler's framework proves particularly illuminating for literary analysis because it reveals how characters' gender presentations expose the constructed and contingent nature of gender norms. When characters deviate from normative gender scripts—when they enact gender in ways that contradict patriarchal prescriptions—these subversive performances expose the artificial foundations upon which gender hierarchies rest.

However, Butler also emphasizes that because gender depends fundamentally upon repetition and reiteration, it remains perpetually vulnerable to disruption through subversive reperformances that challenge or contradict normative gender scripts.

### **Simone de Beauvoir's Existential Feminism and the Construction of Female Subjectivity**

Simone de Beauvoir's existentialist feminist philosophy offers essential insights into how patriarchal systems constitute women as subordinate subjects. Her famous assertion that 'one is not born, but rather becomes, a woman' highlights the recognition that womanhood represents not a natural or biological condition but a socially imposed identity forged through processes of cultural indoctrination, normalization, and disciplinary constraint. De Beauvoir argues that women are systematically constructed as 'the Other' as entities perpetually defined in relation to men rather than as autonomous subjects possessing inherent humanity, agency, and rights.

In patriarchal societies such as Elizabethan England, women's subjective experience was profoundly constrained by rigid legal, economic, and social structures. Women possessed no independent legal status, controlled no significant property, and exercised virtually no authority over major decisions affecting their lives. These structural constraints operate not solely through overt coercion but through the internalization of subordination such that women come to view their constrained circumstances as natural and inevitable rather than as products of unjust social arrangements.

### **Michel Foucault's Theory of Power Relations**

Michel Foucault's theoretical framework conceptualizes power not as a unified force wielded by specific individuals or groups but rather as a pervasive network of relations that constitutes subjects and produces knowledge. Power, in Foucault's analysis, operates not solely through repressive mechanisms that prohibit and punish but equally through productive capacities through the generation of discourse, norms, and 'truths' that regulate bodies, behaviors, and identities. This understanding proves invaluable for comprehending how patriarchal power sustains itself not exclusively through overt coercion but through the internalization of normative values that compel individuals to police their own conduct.

Within the context of patriarchy, power operates through what Foucault terms 'disciplinary mechanisms' subtle regulatory techniques that constrain behavior without requiring explicit surveillance or punishment. Women are taught through family socialization, educational institutions, religious instruction, and cultural representation to govern their own behavior in accordance with patriarchal norms. They internalize the gaze of patriarchal authority and come to view self-abnegation, emotional restraint, and deference to male authority as natural expressions of authentic femininity rather than as products of coercive social regulation.

### **Previous Studies on Gender in Literary and Film Analysis**

Several previous investigations have examined gender representation in film and literature through various theoretical frameworks. Sinta and Ambalegin (2020) analyzed Juliet's struggle against patriarchal dominance in Shakespeare's drama, revealing how women of that era were positioned as objects within patriarchal societies rather than as autonomous subjects. Their research demonstrated that Juliet's forms of resistance including her elopement with Romeo and her willingness to consume the potion provided by Friar Laurence represented attempts to assert agency within severely constrained circumstances.

Fahri and Rosida (2023) examined gender and power relations in literary texts, applying Michel Foucault's theory to demonstrate how power relations function as mechanisms of oppression but also as sites of potential resistance. Their analysis revealed that while characters in subordinate positions experience genuine oppression, they simultaneously possess capacity to contest and disrupt dominant power structures through strategic action and symbolic resistance.

Paturusi (2024) investigated gender inequality in contemporary film, applying Alice Eagly's social role theory to analyze how gender stereotypes and subordination operate through cinematic representation. This research demonstrated that cinema functions not merely as a reflection of existing gender ideologies but as a site where gender norms are actively produced, contested, and potentially transformed.

### **Research Methodology**

This research employs a qualitative method focused on understanding meaning, interpretation, and lived experience rather than pursuing quantifiable generalizations. This approach proves particularly suitable for literary and cultural analysis, wherein the investigative objective involves uncovering layers of meaning embedded within language, dramatic structure, characterization, and symbolic systems.

The researcher applied a descriptive qualitative method by explaining textual elements from the film and interpreting them through relevant theoretical frameworks. This permits detailed analysis of how gender power operates within specific scenes while connecting particular instances to broader theoretical understandings of patriarchal power.

The primary data source for this research is the film *Romeo and Juliet* (2013), directed by Carlo Carlei. This contemporary adaptation of Shakespeare's drama facilitates examination of gender power through cinematic techniques, performance, bodily comportment, spatial relations, and linguistic utterance, thereby providing rich material for analyzing how patriarchal structures are visually and performatively represented.

The analysis applies three major theoretical frameworks: Judith Butler's theory of gender performativity, Simone de Beauvoir's existential feminism, and Michel Foucault's analysis of power relations. These frameworks provide sophisticated conceptual tools for examining how gender power operates as a system of constraint and possibility, permitting examination of how individual agents negotiate, resist, and potentially transform normative gender structures.

Secondary data were gathered from scholarly books, academic journals, research articles, and critical essays addressing Shakespearean drama, gender theory, feminist literary criticism, and power relations. These sources provide the theoretical grounding and contextual frameworks necessary for informed interpretation of the primary text.

The data collection process involved multiple stages. The researcher watched the film multiple times to develop comprehensive familiarity with its narrative structure,

thematic concerns, and character development. Subsequent viewings permitted identification of specific scenes, dialogues, and visual sequences wherein gender power operates most explicitly. Key scenes featuring Juliet's interactions, decisions, expressions of agency, and confrontations with patriarchal authority were then isolated for close examination. Particular attention was devoted to analyzing Juliet's linguistic utterances, her dramatic soliloquies, her strategic actions, and her embodied performance of femininity, examining how these elements reveal her consciousness, desires, and interior conflicts regarding her constrained social position.

In analyzing the data, the researcher coded all identified forms of gender power representation according to Butler's theory of gender performativity, de Beauvoir's analysis of female subjectivity, and Foucault's framework of power relations. This coding process facilitated systematic organization of the extensive data and permitted identification of thematic patterns and recurring analytical insights that illuminate how patriarchal power operates within the dramatic text.

## **Result And Discussion**

Romeo and Juliet (2013) depicts the tragic love story between two young members of feuding noble families in Verona, Italy. The narrative unfolds within a patriarchal social structure wherein male authority particularly as embodied in the figure of Lord Capulet dictates the fundamental parameters of individual lives and determines social positioning. Throughout the film, gender power operates through multiple mechanisms: paternal authority, economic ownership, social convention, institutional religion, and linguistic regulation. The analysis reveals distinct scenes wherein gender power is constructed, contested, and challenged.

### **Patriarchal Authority and the Commodification of Female Body**

The film opens by establishing Lord Capulet's absolute authority within his household and his prerogative to determine his daughter's marital future. When Count Paris requests Juliet's hand in marriage, Lord Capulet does not consult his daughter regarding her own preferences but instead permits Paris to court her, implicitly promising that he will enforce her compliance. This scene exemplifies what de Beauvoir characterizes as the reduction of women to 'the Other'—to entities whose value derives entirely from their utility to male interests rather than from their inherent humanity.

Data:

*Lord Capulet: "Paris, you have my consent. I'll make her your wife. She is not yet of age, but she will be."*

*Lord Capulet: "Then come, let her be your wife. Look at her is she not worthy of you?"*

*Lord Capulet: "You will do as I command! Thursday you will marry Paris, or by God, I swear you shall never be recognized as my daughter again. Out of my sight!"*

*Nurse: "Child, Romeo is gone and will not return. Paris is a far better match a nobleman of standing. Your mother and father have chosen well. You must accept what is best for your future."*

*Lady Capulet: "You will obey your father's wishes, as is your duty as a daughter. This is not a matter for discussion."*

Lord Capulet's language reveals how patriarchal authority operates through the commodification of female bodies. The phrase 'I'll make her your wife' suggests that Juliet's consent is irrelevant her father possesses the authority to dispose of her body and sexuality as he sees fit. Her agency, her preferences, and her emotional life are rendered insignificant within this patriarchal calculus. The language emphasizes possession ('I'll

make') and transfer (from father to husband), positioning Juliet as a commodity to be exchanged rather than as an autonomous subject entitled to self-determination.

This scene demonstrates Butler's observation that gender performativity is not a matter of free choice but of compulsory repetition. Juliet is compelled to perform the role of the obedient daughter, to embody the expectations of passive femininity, regardless of her own desires. Her body is positioned as a resource to be controlled by patriarchal authority first by her father, subsequently by her husband. This commodification operates not through explicit violence but through the naturalization of patriarchal prerogatives such that Juliet's subordination appears inevitable and justified.

### **Acts of Female Resistance: The Clandestine Marriage**

When Juliet encounters Romeo at the Capulet ball and experiences romantic passion, she deliberately chooses to marry him clandestinely rather than acquiescing to her father's dictation. This act constitutes a profound assertion of sexual and romantic autonomy a claim to the right of self-determination that directly contravenes patriarchal prescriptions of female obedience. She utilizes language strategically to articulate her desires and to negotiate her position within the power relations constraining her.

Data:

*Juliet: "My only love sprung from my only hate, too early seen unknown and known too late. Prodigious birth of love it is to me that I must love a loathed enemy."*

*Juliet: "If that thy bent of love be honourable, thy purpose marriage, send me word tomorrow... and all my fortunes at thy foot I'll lay, and follow thee my lord throughout the world."*

*Romeo: "Lady, by yonder moon I swear"*

*Juliet (interrupting): "Swear not by the moon, the inconstant moon, that monthly changes in her circled orb. But do not swear at all. Or if thou wilt, swear by thyself, which is the god of my idolatry."*

Juliet's soliloquy demonstrates her capacity for sophisticated emotional and intellectual analysis. She recognizes the contradiction between her love for Romeo and her family's hatred of the Montagues; she articulates the temporal paradox of knowing too early and too late; she acknowledges the transgressive nature of her feelings. This linguistic sophistication reveals that Juliet is not merely an object passively subjected to patriarchal manipulation but a subject with conscious awareness of her situation and capacity for strategic thought.

Her decision to marry Romeo represents a subversive reperformance of femininity she continues to embody ostensibly feminine qualities (emotional intensity, romantic devotion, physical beauty) while simultaneously deploying these conventionally feminine attributes toward ends that patriarchal society designates as transgressive. Rather than performing passive acceptance of paternal will, she actively claims agency over her body, her sexuality, and her romantic choices.

### **Language as a Tool of Power and Resistance**

Throughout the film, language functions as a medium through which gender power is articulated, contested, and negotiated. Patriarchal figures utilize imperative language, commanding syntax, and authoritative tone to assert and maintain their dominance. Conversely, Juliet employs more complex, emotionally expressive, and rhetorically sophisticated language to articulate her subjectivity and to resist patriarchal constraint.

Data:

*Lord Capulet: "You will marry Paris on Thursday. This is not a request, daughter it is a command. You will obey, or you will suffer the consequences of my displeasure."*

*Juliet: "You are not my lord! I will not marry Paris!"*

*Lord Capulet: "How dare you speak to me in such a manner! You are my property, my daughter, and you will do as I say!"*

*Juliet: "I am not property to be disposed of at your pleasure. I have a will of my own, and that will shall not bend to yours."*

Juliet's assertion 'You are not my lord' directly challenges her father's patriarchal authority. She denies the legitimacy of his command and asserts her own will. This linguistic defiance represents a subversive reperformance of femininity; rather than embodying passive obedience, she articulates resistance through declarative speech. However, this linguistic assertion occurs within a context of severely constrained power relations. Although she speaks her refusal, she ultimately possesses no legal authority to enforce her will. The patriarchal structure permits her to voice her desires but not to implement them hence she is constrained to deploy covert strategies (elopement, deception) to exercise agency.

### **The Potion Scene: Strategic Agency and Ultimate Resignation**

When her father insists that she marry Paris immediately, Juliet consents to Friar Laurence's dangerous plan: she will consume a potion that will simulate death, thereby permitting her to escape from the marriage and eventually reunite with Romeo. This decision demonstrates Juliet's capacity for strategic thinking and her willingness to accept extreme risk to preserve her autonomy.

Data:

*Juliet: "Friar, if I drink this potion and Romeo does not come, I shall die alone in the tomb."*

*Friar Laurence: "Yes. That is the risk. Are you prepared to accept it?"*

*Juliet: "I am prepared to accept death rather than accept my father's will. What is life if it is not my own?"*

*Friar Laurence: "Then you have chosen."*

*Juliet: "I have. For the first time, I choose my own fate even if that fate is death."*

*[She drinks the potion]*

Juliet's willingness to risk death to preserve her romantic autonomy represents the ultimate assertion of individual will against patriarchal constraint. She would literally rather die than submit to the marriage her father imposes. This stance reveals that for Juliet, the alternative to autonomous choice is not merely undesirable but existentially intolerable. She cannot maintain her sense of self, her dignity, or her authentic being within a social world that denies her the capacity for self-determination.

Yet the narrative ultimately demonstrates the tragic futility of Juliet's resistance. A miscommunication prevents Romeo from learning about the potion scheme. Believing Juliet dead, Romeo returns to Verona and consumes poison beside her grave. When Juliet awakens and discovers his lifeless body, she takes her own life with his dagger. The lovers' deaths while catalyzing the feuding families' reconciliation represent the ultimate failure of individual resistance within patriarchal structures. The patriarchal social order does not tolerate sustained female defiance; it responds to Juliet's transgression with her complete elimination.

## Conclusion

Based on the analysis of *Romeo and Juliet* (2013) through application of feminist theoretical frameworks, several significant conclusions emerge regarding the representation and operation of gender power within the dramatic text. First, gender power operates not merely as a system of overt oppression but as a complex network of relations that produces female subjectivity, constrains agency, and naturalizes patriarchal prerogatives. Lord Capulet's authority over Juliet's body and marital choices exemplifies how patriarchal power is institutionalized within family structures and legitimized through legal, religious, and customary frameworks. This analysis reveals that patriarchal domination functions not primarily through visible coercion but through the normalization of female subordination as inevitable and natural.

Second, within these severely constrained circumstances, individual women demonstrate remarkable capacity for resistance, agency, and subversion. Juliet emerges not as a passive victim helplessly subjected to patriarchal domination but as a complex protagonist whose acts of resistance expose and challenge the contingent nature of gender norms. Her clandestine marriage, her linguistically sophisticated assertions of will, her willingness to consume the potion, and her ultimate self-determination through death all represent subversive reperformances of femininity that contradict patriarchal prescriptions and reveal gender identity as constructed rather than natural.

Third, the film demonstrates the tragic limitations of individual resistance within rigid patriarchal structures. Juliet's subversive performances, while exposing the constructed nature of prescribed femininity, ultimately prove untenable within the patriarchal social order. Her death represents patriarchal society's violent reaction to female transgression, suggesting that patriarchal systems will not tolerate sustained challenges to their authority and will resolve such challenges through death, marginalization, or coercion.

Fourth, gender power operates differentially across intersecting dimensions of class, age, and social positioning. The Nurse and Lady Capulet experience patriarchal constraint differently than Juliet based upon their distinct social locations. While all female characters are subject to patriarchal authority, the specific forms through which that authority is exercised and the particular possibilities available for resistance vary substantially according to women's positionality within multiple social hierarchies.

Finally, the film portrays gender power as dynamic, contested, and fundamentally dependent upon the continued repetition and reperformance of normative gender behaviors. Shakespeare's portrayal of female characters exposes how patriarchal power maintains female subordination through linguistic regulation, embodied discipline, and institutional authority, while simultaneously creating narrative spaces wherein these power relations are questioned, challenged, and resisted. This investigation affirms the enduring relevance of feminist literary analysis for understanding how power operates through representation and for envisioning alternative possibilities for gender relations beyond patriarchal domination. By examining how female characters navigate, resist, and are ultimately constrained by patriarchal systems, we illuminate not only the historical specificity of Elizabethan gender relations but also the persistent structures through which contemporary gender hierarchies are maintained and potentially transformed.

## References

- Andersen, M. (2022). The constellation of Shakespeare's Juliet: A psychoanalytic and feminist critique locating the power of Juliet's resistance. *English Theses, Dissertations, and Student Creative Activity*, 6, 1–5.

- Butler, J. (1988). Performative acts and gender constitution: An essay in phenomenology and feminist theory. *Theatre Journal*, 40(4), 519–531.
- Butler, J. (1990). *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. Routledge.
- Chedgzoy, K. (Ed.). (2001). *Shakespeare, Feminism and Gender: Contemporary Critical Essays*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- de Beauvoir, S. (1949). *The Second Sex*. Vintage Books.
- Dusinberre, J. (1975). *Shakespeare and the Nature of Women*. Macmillan.
- Fahri, A., & Rosida, I. (2023). Gender and power relations in Aisha Saeed's *Amal Unbound*. *Muslim English Literature*, 2(1).
- Foucault, M. (1975). *Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison* (A. Sheridan, Trans.). Vintage Books.
- Foucault, M. (1976). *The History of Sexuality, Vol. 1: An Introduction* (R. Hurley, Trans.). Vintage Books.
- Foucault, M. (1980). *Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews and Other Writings, 1972–1977* (C. Gordon, Ed.). Pantheon Books.
- Ghorpade, R. P., & Samy, K. (2023). The representation of women in Shakespeare's plays: A feminist analysis. *ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, 4(2), 4014–4020.
- Haniph, N. (2017). A feminist reading of Shakespeare's works. *Research Journal of English Language and Literature (RJELAL)*, 5(1), 325–331.
- hooks, b. (1984). *Feminist Theory: From Margin to Center*. South End Press.
- Kostova, K. (2025). Gender politics and proto-feminist dimensions of gender roles in Renaissance England: A socio-literary examination. *International Journal of Research—GRANTHAALAYAH*, 13(6), 188–198.
- Paturusi, I. C. A., Halim, A., & Nasta, M. (2024). Revealing the kinds of gender inequality in Disney *Mulan 2020* movie. *Journal of English Language and Literature (JELL)*. Universitas Negeri Makassar.
- Rackin, P. (2005). *Shakespeare and Women*. Oxford University Press.
- Rao, A. S. (2025). Love as conflict: A comparative analysis of Shakespeare's *Othello* and *Romeo and Juliet*. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)*, 7(5).
- Shakespeare, W. (2013). *Romeo and Juliet* [Motion picture]. (C. Carlei, Director). Relativity Media.
- Sinta, S., & Ambalegin, A. (2020). The struggle against patriarchal dominance in *Romeo and Juliet* drama. *IdeBahasa*, 2(2), 141–154.
- Walby, S. (1990). *Theorizing Patriarchy*. Blackwell.