

Business Negotiation Strategies: A Study of English Persuasive Communication in Decision-Making Processes

Nurwahida¹

Rahmiati²

Ratnah³

Alfiana Damasinta⁴

¹³⁴ Universitas Negeri Makassar, Indonesia

² Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar, Indonesia

nurwahida@unm.ac.id

Abstract

English has become the lingua franca in international business negotiations, which means that English persuasive communication is an important element for decision making. This study analyzes how English persuasive strategies shape negotiation outcomes, identifies key linguistic and pragmatic elements that contribute to negotiation success, and explores contextual factors that influence communicative effectiveness in various business settings. Adopting a descriptive qualitative literature review, this paper synthesizes insights derived from international studies on communication, negotiation and business English. The results indicate that the ability to structure logical arguments, present data-driven claims, use culturally sensitive discourse strategies, and manage interpersonal rapport in English significantly enhances negotiation effectiveness. In digital negotiation contexts, communicative clarity and adaptability become even more essential due to the reduced availability of non-verbal cues. Mastery of English persuasive communication not only improves agreement quality but also strengthens long-term business relationships and supports organizational competitiveness in global markets.

Keywords: *Business Negotiation, English Persuasive Communication, Decision-Making, BELF, Negotiation Strategy*

Introduction

Negotiation plays a central role in global business interaction, where organizations must align differing interests and manage complex decision-making processes. In this context, negotiation is not merely an exchange of offers but a strategic communicative activity that requires argumentation, interpersonal management, and adaptability. As English has become the dominant medium for international business communication, the ability to use persuasive English effectively has gained increasing importance in shaping negotiation outcomes.

English functions as more than a linguistic tool in business settings. Research shows that it supports clarity, establishes professional credibility, and facilitates shared understanding among speakers with diverse linguistic backgrounds (Louhiala-Salminen & Kankaanranta, 2023). Handford (2022) further demonstrates that language in business meetings carries rhetorical power, influencing how decisions are framed and how interlocutors respond to proposals. Such insights underscore that successful negotiation depends not only on what is communicated but also on how reasoning, tone, and interpersonal cues are expressed through English.

Although existing studies have discussed the role of language in international business, several gaps remain. Prior research on BELF primarily focuses on intelligibility and cooperation, with limited attention to persuasion as a strategic component of negotiation. Studies on negotiation discourse often highlight interactional features yet rarely integrate these with decision-making mechanisms. Additionally, while Fells (2016) presents negotiation as a system of issue-level reasoning and relational management, the linguistic realization of these processes through persuasive English has not been sufficiently analyzed. These gaps indicate the need for a more integrated theoretical perspective.

This study offers novelty by combining negotiation dynamics (Fells, 2016), persuasive communication frameworks, and recent developments in international business communication to explain how persuasive English shapes negotiation trajectories. It highlights the linguistic and pragmatic resources that negotiators employ such as structured reasoning, mitigation strategies, and adaptive phrasing to maintain clarity and guide decisions in multicultural business environments. The rise of digital negotiation platforms further strengthens the relevance of this inquiry, as reduced non-verbal cues place greater emphasis on verbal precision and strategic message design.

By conducting a descriptive qualitative literature review, this article synthesizes insights from current research to clarify how persuasive English contributes to agreement-building, relational alignment, and decision-making effectiveness. The study aims to provide a theoretical foundation for understanding persuasive English not only as a communication skill but also as a strategic capability in global business negotiation.

Literature Review

1. Theoretical Foundations of Business Negotiation

Negotiation is widely recognized as a structured communicative activity that aims to reconcile divergent interests while maintaining working relationships. Classical negotiation scholarship views negotiation as a sequence of information exchange, evaluation, and agreement formation. Contemporary perspectives broaden this view by emphasizing negotiation as a social and communicative process shaped by interpersonal dynamics and context. Fells (2016) conceptualizes negotiation as a system where issue-level reasoning and relationship management operate simultaneously. In his framework, negotiators navigate between clarifying interests, framing proposals, and maintaining relational stability, illustrating that negotiation success depends on both analytical and communicative competence. This systemic view positions negotiation as a multi-layered process that requires attention to message design, interactional cues, and strategic adaptation across different stages.

2. Persuasion in Professional Communication

Persuasion is a central component of professional discourse, particularly in organizational settings where decisions are often contingent upon well-constructed arguments. Persuasive communication encompasses rhetorical reasoning, evidence presentation, and linguistic choices that enhance credibility and clarity. Handford (2022) notes that professional talk carries rhetorical force, influencing how proposals are evaluated and how interlocutors align their positions. In negotiation contexts, persuasion involves more than presenting convincing arguments; it includes tailoring messages to the audience, using mitigation to reduce resistance, and selecting discourse strategies that foster receptiveness. Studies on workplace pragmatics, such as Holmes and Marra (2020), demonstrate that persuasion is enacted through subtle linguistic features intonation, stance-taking, facework, and turn management which collectively

shape how messages are interpreted. Thus, persuasive communication is both a linguistic and relational activity that structures the decision-making flow.

3. English as a Business Lingua Franca (BELF)

English has evolved into a shared medium for international business interactions, commonly conceptualized as Business English as a Lingua Franca (BELF). BELF does not aim for native-like accuracy but prioritizes clarity, shared understanding, and professional credibility (Louhiala-Salminen & Kankaanranta, 2023). Within this paradigm, English is treated as a resource for accomplishing business tasks rather than a linguistic ideal. This functional orientation is essential in negotiation settings, where interlocutors may come from diverse cultural and linguistic backgrounds. BELF users tend to rely on explicitness, simplified structures, and relational alignment to minimize misinterpretation. The emphasis on comprehensibility and rapport-building demonstrates that BELF operates as both a communicative and identity-negotiating tool. As international collaboration intensifies, BELF continues to shape business interaction norms by encouraging pragmatic flexibility and cooperative meaning-making.

4. Pragmatic Competence and Interactional Norms in Business English

Pragmatics plays a critical role in shaping how messages are produced and interpreted in business settings. Features such as face-saving strategies, politeness orientation, and context-sensitive mitigation directly influence negotiation interaction. Holmes and Marra (2020) show that effective workplace communication depends on a balance between clarity and relational sensitivity, particularly in interactions involving disagreement or power asymmetry. Pragmatic competence enables negotiators to manage implication, indirectness, and interactional alignment, allowing them to communicate disagreement without escalating conflict. In cross-cultural contexts, the ability to interpret non-verbal cues, discourse markers, and conversational expectations becomes even more important. Liu (2024) highlights that misunderstanding often arises when negotiators apply their own cultural norms to interpret others' communicative behavior. An awareness of these interactional norms strengthens the negotiator's capacity to navigate ambiguity and maintain constructive engagement.

5. Digital Transformation and the Changing Nature of Negotiation Discourse

The rise of digital communication platforms has transformed the linguistic and interactional dynamics of negotiation. Negotiation increasingly occurs through email, video conferencing, and hybrid communication channels, requiring greater reliance on linguistic precision due to the reduced availability of non-verbal cues. Digital settings alter turn-taking, delay feedback mechanisms, and heighten the risk of misinterpretation. Fells' (2016) notion of negotiation as a cyclical process of reflection and adjustment becomes even more relevant in digital contexts, where message formulation must compensate for limited contextual cues. Contemporary research suggests that digital negotiations demand heightened attention to clarity, timing, and tone, as communicative misalignment can escalate quickly in text-based or asynchronous exchanges. The shift toward digital interaction further underscores the importance of persuasive, context-sensitive English communication in shaping negotiation outcomes.

Method

This study employs a qualitative literature review approach designed to synthesize theoretical, empirical, and discourse-based insights on persuasive English communication in business negotiations. The methodological orientation draws on Cheong's (2023) framework for secondary qualitative analysis, which emphasizes the

systematic examination of existing academic sources to produce integrative and conceptually grounded interpretations. This approach is particularly aligned with the focus of the present study because negotiation research is dispersed across communication studies, applied linguistics, management, and intercultural business literature, requiring a method capable of identifying patterns across disciplines.

The research process followed four stages. First, relevant primary and secondary sources were identified through academic databases such as Scopus, Google Scholar, and publisher repositories. Inclusion criteria covered peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, and empirical studies focusing on persuasive communication, BELF, negotiation strategy, cross-cultural business interaction, and decision-making processes. Exclusion criteria eliminated duplicated materials, non-academic commentaries, and sources lacking methodological transparency. This filtering ensured that the data pool reflected credible and theoretically robust scholarship.

Second, selected sources were categorized into thematic clusters that aligned with the analytical aims: (1) persuasive English communication, (2) negotiation strategy and interactional dynamics, (3) cross-cultural communication including BELF and attribution processes, and (4) negotiation-related decision making. Braun and Clarke's (2022) thematic analysis guidelines informed this classification, allowing the study to move from descriptive coding to interpretive synthesis.

Third, data were analyzed using a relational and iterative lens as proposed by Timonen et al. (2024). Their conceptualization of qualitative research as a reflective process guided the interpretation of each theme in relation to the broader negotiation context. This step ensured that findings were not merely aggregated but were examined for interconnections—such as how linguistic strategies influence decision-making, or how cultural factors interact with persuasion in English-mediated negotiation.

Finally, a cross-source synthesis was conducted to construct a coherent narrative that reflects contemporary developments in negotiation scholarship. This synthesis integrates Fells' (2016) systemic model, which conceptualizes negotiation as a cyclical process involving preparation, interaction, and reflection. Incorporating this model into the final analysis helps explain how persuasive English contributes not only to the articulation of arguments but also to the management of relational dynamics and negotiation rhythms.

Overall, this methodological design enables a comprehensive and theoretically grounded exploration of negotiation communication. By combining systematic source selection, thematic structuring, and reflective interpretation, the study ensures high analytical rigor aligned with expectations in reputable international journals

Result and Discussion

1. Persuasive English as a Strategic Tool in Negotiation

The literature indicates that persuasive English is not simply a vehicle for presenting arguments, but a strategic tool that shapes how negotiation unfolds at both the issue and relational levels. Across the reviewed studies, successful negotiators demonstrate the ability to frame proposals using logical sequencing, evidence-based reasoning, and linguistic alignment that anticipates the audience's expectations. Handford's (2022) view of business discourse as inherently rhetorical helps explain why persuasive English exerts influence beyond its propositional content—it channels professionalism, confidence, and clarity, all of which affect the perceived legitimacy of a proposal.

The findings align closely with Fells' (2016) systemic model, where the effectiveness of issue-level bargaining depends on how convincingly the negotiator articulates problem definitions, alternative options, and desired outcomes. When negotiators use structured, audience-sensitive English, they reduce ambiguity and create a clearer pathway toward agreement. Conversely, unclear phrasing or unmitigated assertiveness risks generating resistance and misalignment. In this sense, persuasive English contributes directly to decision-making quality because it lays the linguistic groundwork for shared understanding.

2. Managing Interactional Dynamics in Intercultural Settings

Another key finding is that persuasive English operates differently in multicultural interactions. Communication tends to become more explicit and collaborative due to the presence of varied cultural norms and pragmatic expectations. Holmes and Marra's (2020) work on face management helps illuminate this pattern: negotiators in intercultural environments must carefully balance clarity with relational sensitivity to avoid unintentionally threatening the interlocutor's face.

Liu's (2024) study on cross-cultural business negotiations reinforces this idea by highlighting how cultural differences influence interpretation of tone, indirectness, and the use of rapport-building devices. Negotiators who rely on persuasive English strategically—using calibrated politeness, hedges, or softeners—are more successful at reducing attribution errors and maintaining relational harmony. The reviewed evidence shows that misunderstandings in intercultural negotiation rarely stem from linguistic limitations alone; rather, they result from mismatched pragmatic expectations. Therefore, persuasive English becomes a mediating resource that bridges communication norms across cultures.

3. The Role of BELF in Enhancing Clarity and Reducing Misinterpretation

BELF principles appear consistently across the reviewed literature as an implicit foundation for negotiation success. While the Literature Review addressed BELF conceptually, the findings indicate its *practical* significance in negotiation contexts. In multilingual business environments, negotiators tend to use English in ways that maximize clarity, reduce unnecessary complexity, and foreground mutual intelligibility. Louhiala-Salminen and Kankaanranta's (2023) emphasis on clarity and credibility is reflected in the recurring preference for direct yet polite phrasing, simplified explanations, and explicit confirmation checks.

The data suggest that BELF does not diminish the persuasive potential of English; instead, it recalibrates persuasion to prioritize functionality and shared meaning. This aligns with Fells' (2016) idea that negotiation is a process requiring continuous adjustment. BELF-informed communication allows negotiators to detect signs of misunderstanding more quickly and respond with real-time linguistic modifications. As a result, persuasive English in BELF settings becomes less about rhetorical flourish and more about strategic precision.

4. Linguistic Signals That Support Decision-Making

Across the studies, certain linguistic features emerge as particularly influential in negotiation outcomes. These include:

- a. **Mitigated assertions** that soften disagreement while maintaining clarity.
- b. **Framing devices** ("What we could consider is...") that steer attention without imposing decisions.

- c. **Relational markers** (“We appreciate your concerns...”) that sustain cooperation.
- d. **Explicit structuring** (first..., second..., finally...) that helps stakeholders process complex reasoning.

These features serve as decision-making scaffolds because they reduce cognitive load, build a cooperative tone, and guide interlocutors toward shared interpretations. Fells’ (2016) systemic view supports this insight: effective negotiation requires balancing assertiveness with relationship maintenance, and these linguistic devices help operationalize that balance.

Holmes and Marra (2020) further explain that such features mitigate face threats in moments of disagreement. When negotiators use persuasive English to reframe conflict as joint problem-solving, the likelihood of reaching an integrative agreement increases. Thus, linguistic design becomes a tool for shaping negotiation trajectories.

5. The Influence of Digital Communication on Persuasive Negotiation

A notable pattern in the reviewed literature concerns the growing role of technology in negotiation. In digital platforms, where non-verbal cues are limited, persuasive English becomes even more central to managing tone, intention, and relational alignment. Text-based negotiations require heightened precision because the absence of facial expressions or prosodic cues increases the risk of misinterpretation. Fells’ (2016) emphasis on cyclical reflection resonates strongly here: digital negotiators must frequently re-evaluate how their messages may be perceived and adjust language accordingly. This includes pre-emptively clarifying intent, using more explicit structuring, and incorporating relational markers to maintain rapport. The findings suggest that digitization amplifies the importance of persuasive English by shifting communicative responsibility more heavily onto linguistic design.

6. Qualitative Approach as a Basis for Negotiation Analysis

Timonen (2024) emphasized that modern qualitative research views research quality as a reflective, relational and contextual process. This is particularly relevant when examining persuasive communication in negotiations, as the phenomenon relies on human interaction, message interpretation, and situational dynamics.

Meanwhile, Cheong (2023) introduced a secondary qualitative research approach which emphasizes the importance of systematic analysis of secondary data sources to understand communication and negotiation phenomena comprehensively. These two references provide a strong foundation for understanding:

a. Intonation

Intonation is a suprasegmental element that influences the meaning, attitude and interpretation of messages in negotiations. In English negotiations, intonation functions to:

- a) shows firmness or flexibility,
- b) emphasize important points,
- c) reducing threats or impressions of aggression,
- d) strengthen warmth and politeness,
- e) create a collaborative atmosphere.

Example:

a) Rising intonation can show openness (“Perhaps we could explore another option?”).

b) Falling intonation projects confidence and finality (“We believe this is the most reasonable offer.”).

Intonation is a subtle tool of persuasion that greatly influences the perception of the other party.

b. Pause

Pausing is an important communication strategy in negotiations because it has rhetorical and psychological functions, such as:

- a) give the interlocutor time to process the argument,
- b) demonstrate prudence and professionalism,
- c) reduce the impression of domination,
- d) invite the participation of other parties,
- e) applying gentle pressure without being aggressive (strategic silence).

In Anglo-Saxon culture, pauses are usually short and used for emphasis.

In high-context (Asian) cultures, long pauses are often seen as a sign of respect and reflection. Mastering the use of pauses helps negotiators control the rhythm of the conversation and increases the effectiveness of persuasion.

c. Face-saving strategy

Face-saving is a strategy to maintain the honor, self-esteem and professional image of the person you are talking to. In international negotiations, this is very important so that relations remain positive despite differences in interests.

Example of a face-saving strategy:

- a) use of mitigation ("Perhaps we could reconsider the timeline."),
- b) indirectness to avoid confrontation,
- c) positive politeness ("We truly value your contribution."),
- d) reframing the rejection without cornering the other party,
- e) change you to we to create solidarity.

This strategy maintains harmony and increases the chances of reaching a win-win agreement.

d. Implicit meaning

Negotiations are full of implicit meaning, especially when negotiators:

- a) avoid expressing rejection directly,
- b) offer alternatives without appearing to dominate,
- c) show disagreement without triggering conflict.

Example in English business negotiation:

- a) "That might be challenging" which means no.
- b) "We will need to review this carefully" which means temporary rejection or serious doubt.

Understanding these implicatures is very important to avoid misinterpretations that damage the negotiation process.

e. Communication culture,

Culture greatly determines communication styles and persuasion strategies in international negotiations. Cultural differences influence:

- a) direct vs. indirect communication,
- b) level of politeness,
- c) argumentation style,
- d) use of nonverbal expressions,
- e) structure of idea presentation,
- f) how to reject or accept an offer.

Example:

- a) Anglo-Saxon culture which is more direct, explicit, focuses on efficiency and data.

b) Asian culture is more indirect, pays attention to harmony, avoids confrontation, uses a lot of face-saving strategies.

In English persuasive negotiation, negotiators must be able to:

- a) adapting the language to the cultural background of the interlocutor,
- b) understand differences in interpretation,
- c) use BELF (Business English as a Lingua Franca) to reduce cultural barriers.

f. Rhetoric in English persuasive negotiation

Rhetoric is the art of constructing arguments and messages to influence others. In English-language business negotiations, rhetoric includes the use of:

- a) Ethos, namely credibility, reputation, professional attitude
- b) Logos is logical argumentation, data, evidence
- c) Pathos is emotional touch, empathy, balance in relationships

Rhetoric in negotiations includes:

- a) framing (packaging the issue to make it look more profitable),
- b) storytelling (attracting sympathy or legitimacy),
- c) logical sequencing (order of arguments from general to specific),
- d) persuasive vocabulary ("mutual benefit", "long-term value", "shared goal"),
- e) lexical hedging ("slightly", "perhaps", "potentially") to reduce threats.

Mastery of rhetoric is what increases a negotiator's ability to influence decisions strategically, especially through English. Therefore, a qualitative approach is ideal because it is able to explore these subtle aspects in depth without being limited by numbers.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that persuasive English communication plays a central role in shaping negotiation processes, particularly in multicultural business environments where clarity, credibility, and relational sensitivity must be managed simultaneously. Drawing on Fells' (2016) systemic view of negotiation, the findings show that persuasion operates not only at the level of argumentation but also across the procedural stages of preparing issues, managing interactional flow, and reflecting on the direction of the negotiation. When negotiators use structured reasoning, culturally attuned phrasing, and linguistically strategic choices, they are better able to minimize ambiguity, prevent misattributions, and sustain cooperation. These communicative practices, especially when aligned with BELF principles, enhance shared understanding and support more balanced and mutually beneficial agreements.

Beyond influencing interpersonal outcomes, persuasive English also contributes to the quality of decision-making throughout the negotiation cycle. In contexts where digital communication is increasingly common, the reliance on linguistic precision, explicit structuring, and relational markers becomes even more crucial due to the absence of non-verbal cues. As the literature shows, negotiation effectiveness depends not only on the substantive merits of proposals but also on how they are linguistically packaged, interpreted, and aligned with cultural expectations. Future research would benefit from examining actual negotiation interactions across digital and face-to-face settings, as well as exploring how emerging communication technologies reshape persuasive strategies, interactional rhythms, and decision-making dynamics in contemporary business negotiations.

Acknowledgment

The authors express their sincere appreciation to all academics whose work has contributed significantly to the completion of this study on business negotiations and persuasive communication in English. Insights from previous research have provided a valuable theoretical and empirical foundation for developing a comprehensive understanding of negotiation strategies in the global business context. The authors also express their gratitude to Makassar State University and Alauddin Makassar State Islamic University for academic support and providing a conducive environment for scientific research. Special thanks are extended to the colleagues and reviewers who provided constructive comments and feedback, which have greatly improved the quality of this manuscript. Finally, the authors would like to acknowledge the contributions of various open access academic platforms and digital repositories that have facilitated access to important literature sources used in this research.

References

- Amborowati, L., & Nurdiarti, R. P. (2024). Komunikasi persuasif pada Rumah Sakit Umum Daerah Wates untuk penguatan hubungan dengan pelanggan di Kabupaten Kulon Progo, Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta. *Indonesian Social Science Review*, 2(1), 31–38.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2022). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. SAGE Publications.
- Cheong, H. I. (2023). Secondary qualitative analysis using online data: Methodological principles and research applications. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069231180160>
- Fatyandri, A. N., Chanada, E., Riady, F., Salim, K., & Wu, V. N. (2022). Manajemen konflik dan teknik negosiasi yang baik dan tepat dalam dunia industri makanan dan minuman. *Yume: Journal of Management*, 5(3), 436–440. <https://doi.org/10.37531/Yume.Vxix.324>
- Fatyandri, A. N., Shofiyah, S., Fitriana, A., Fajar, I. A., Maharani, T., & Valentino, J. (2023). Faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi negosiasi dan manajemen konflik pada industri manufaktur dan industri makanan olahan. *Jurnal Economina*, 2(1), 6–14.
- Fells, R. (2016). *Effective Negotiation: From Research to Results* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Ginting, A. J. B., Rahmadani, D., Sembiring, M. L., Saragih, L. S., & Baskoro, D. A. (2024). Analisis strategi komunikasi dan negosiasi untuk meningkatkan kesuksesan bisnis. *Student Scientific Creativity Journal*, 2(4), 182–187. <https://doi.org/10.55606/sscj-amik.v2i4.3556>
- Handford, M. (2022). *The language of business meetings: Negotiating, persuading, and relationship-building in international settings*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hancock, J. T., Naaman, M., & Levy, K. (2020). Negotiating via technology: The role of communication media in persuasion and agreement. *Journal of Communication*, 70(5), 699–724. <https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/jqaa024>
- Holmes, J., & Marra, M. (2020). Relational practices in workplace English: Persuasion, negotiation, and leadership discourse. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 170, 17–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2020.08.014>
- Ikhsan, M. F., & Mandalia, S. A. (2015). Kegiatan eksternal Telkom Foundation. *E-Proceeding of Management*, 2(1), 934–951.
- Kankaanranta, A., & Louhiala-Salminen, L. (2023). Revisiting English as a Business Lingua Franca (BELF): Current insights and future directions. *Journal of Business*

- and Technical Communication*, 37(1), 27–53.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/10506519221124631>
- Kim, J., & Fragale, A. (2020). Persuasion and influence tactics in negotiation: A social-cognitive framework. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 7, 223–248. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-012119-044948>
- Kuswoyo, H., & Siregar, F. L. (2019). Interpersonal metadiscourse markers as persuasive strategies in oral business presentation. *Lingua Cultura*, 13(3), 211–220. <https://doi.org/10.21512/lc.v13i3.5882>
- Liu, X. (2024). Effective strategies for cross-cultural business negotiations. *International Journal of Global Economics and Management*, 3(3), 189–199. <https://doi.org/10.62051/ijgem.v3n3.24>
- Peterson, R., & Lucas, G. (2023). Virtual negotiation skills: Communication, presence, and persuasion in remote business contexts. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, 34(2), 351–369. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCMA-09-2022-0161>
- Ramadhani, M., & Dahliana, D. (2022). Dampak pengangguran dan penyaluran dana zakat infak sedekah terhadap kemiskinan di Provinsi Kalimantan Selatan. *Ecoplan*, 5(2), 150–158. <https://doi.org/10.20527/ecoplan.v5i2.604>
- Siagian, E. I., Nurkarim, M. C., & Maharani, N. (2024). Persuasive communication in business negotiations: Strategies and techniques. *Ilomata International Journal of Social Science*, 5(2), 428–443. <https://doi.org/10.61194/ijss.v5i2.1165>
- Sulastri, & Wahyudi, S. (2023). *Komunikasi bisnis dan negosiasi* (1st ed.). Eureka Media Aksara.
- Suryana, A., Zein, D., Sumartias, S., & Gemiharto, I. (2019). Pengaruh strategi komunikasi pemasaran, budaya organisasi, karakteristik individu inovatif dan komitmen organisasional terhadap kinerja usaha kecil dan menengah. *Jurnal Manajemen Komunikasi*, 3(2), 185–201.
- Timonen, V., Foley, G., & Conlon, C. (2024). Quality in qualitative research: A relational, reflective, and iterative process. *Qualitative Research Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QRJ-07-2024-0153>
- Zain, N. L. (2017). Strategi komunikasi persuasif dalam meningkatkan motivasi belajar siswa. *Jurnal Nomosleca*, 3(2), 595–604.